



BizNewsDigest

THE RATIONAL PERSPECTIVE

WWW.BIZNEWS.COM

SATURDAY 15 MAY 2021

BIZNEWS.COM

Don't mention Ivermectin; it'll upset the vaccine rollout

By Andrew Bannister*

What if there was a cheap drug, so old its patent had expired, so safe that it's on the WHO's lists of Essential and Children's Medicines, and used in mass drug administration rollouts? What if it can be taken at home with the first signs of Covid-19 symptoms, given to those in close contact, and significantly reduce Covid-19 disease progression and cases, and far fewer people would need hospitalisation?


The international vaccine rollout under Emergency Use Authorisation (EUA) would legally have to be halted. For an EUA to be legal, "there must be no adequate, approved and available alternative to the candidate product for diagnosing, preventing or treating the disease or condition." The vaccines would only become legal once they passed level 4 trials and that certainly won't happen in 2021.

Read also:

The lawyer who won the Ivermectin battle for South Africans

This would present a major headache for the big public health agencies led by the WHO. The vaccine rollout, outside of trials, would become illegal. The

"No mainstream journalists have investigated the evidence or questioned and debated scientists supporting it. It's called an 'animal drug' and dangerous. Ivermectin is seen as a repeat of hydroxychloroquine and not to be taken seriously. Belief in it, worthy only of derision."



© Zapiro (All Rights Reserved). Originally published in Daily Maverick.

vaccine manufactures, having spent hundreds of million dollars developing and testing vaccines during a pandemic, would not see the \$100bn they were expecting in 2021. In a pandemic, and for the next one, we need big pharma to react quickly, and the best way to do that, is to reward them financially. Allowing any existing drug at this time, well into stage 3 trials, to challenge the legality of the EUA of vaccines is not going to happen easily. On the 31st of March 2021, the WHO recommended against the use of Iver-

mectin for Covid-19 treatment, citing safety and lack of large RCT proof.



Ivermectin: Major tech breakthrough in human application, as scientists aim to save world from Covid-19 and malaria

The question of why the WHO would do this is difficult to answer, only if you ignore the importance of the legality of the EUA, and the time-tested advice, of following the money. The

WHO, a once noble organisation funded by the world's countries, now receives less than 20% of its budget from member states.

Read also:

Ivermectin proponents are fighting for the rights of all South Africans

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is the second biggest sponsor after the USA. The GAVI Alliance, a private/public organisation promoting vaccines, was founded by Gates, and now pushing for vaccine passports, are the 4th biggest sponsor to WHO. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the WHO Director-General, served on the GAVI board for several years. In 2021, the WHO changed the definition of 'herd immunity' to occurring only when the global population has been vaccinated.

[Read the full article here](#)

****Disclaimer:** Andrew says "I can provide proof for everything I have written" and was last published 30 years ago in the medical journal *Lancet*.

BRIEFS

Discovery founders sell close to **R70m** of their shares

SA-born billionaire commits **R3bn** to local vaccine production

SAA Technical revenue plunges **83%**

Hyprop in surprise **R358m** equity raise

Minibus taxi industry only pays **R5m** in taxes

Subscribe here for your weekly BizNewsDigest

Subscribe now

Invest to Inspire



KISBY
SME FUND

POWERED BY **AA** 4AFRICA EXCHANGE